UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/715,496 | 11/19/2003 | Hideki Kitahama | 245451US90 | 4507 | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| | | . HOANG, E | . HOANG, DANIEL L | | |
| ALEXANDRI | A, VA 22314 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2136 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 02/05/2008 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com



| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | 10/715,496 | KITAHAMA ET | AL. | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Daniel L. Hoang | 2136 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ' | l | Idress | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>08 June 2007</u>. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres | sentative capacity u | nder 37 CFR | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | se the period for se | eking court review | | |
| 7. 🔀 The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| contacted applicant's representative and confirmed Kurt Berger 703-413-3000 NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 | that case has been abandoned a | and a continuation | n has been filed. | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraminimize any negative effects on patent term. | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be | e promptly filed to | | |